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Introduction

- Presentation is based on results from a SAMHSA-funded Mathematica telephone survey of Medicaid directors or designees in 50 states and DC in 2005-2006
  - Full report will be available soon
- Survey asked questions about the Medicaid agency’s role in administering Medicaid-funded mental health (MH) services, and the degree of shared responsibility with the state MH agency
- Five major areas of the survey were organizational structure, collaboration, funding, covered services and providers, and data sharing and reports
The final report will be available in hard copy and on the SAMHSA web site in about a month.

Those who would like copies of the final report when it is ready should leave their cards with Jim Verdier or e-mail him at jverdier@mathematica-mpr.com.
Background

- Medicaid spending for MH services accounted for 26 percent of total MH expenditures by all public and private payers combined in 2003, up from 21 percent in 1993 and 14 percent in 1971 (Mark et al. 2007, Frank and Glied 2006)
  - Other state and local MH spending dropped from 30 percent in 1971 to 27 percent in 1993 and 21 percent in 2003

- Medicaid now funds more than half of all MH services administered by the states, and could account for two-thirds of such spending by 2017 (Buck 2003)

- 10 percent of all Medicaid dollars were spent on MH services in 2003 (Mark et al. 2007)
State MH services have shifted from institutional care (funded primarily by MH agencies) to community care (funded more by Medicaid) (White and Draper 2004)
- Number of inpatient psychiatric beds in state and county hospitals fell by more than half between 1970 and 1980 (Frank and Glied 2006)

States have sought to maximize Medicaid funding for state MH services (White and Draper 2004)
- Substitutes 50-76% federal matching payments for 100% state dollars
- Shifts responsibility from MH agencies to Medicaid agencies
Because Medicaid is a joint state-federal program, key aspects of the program affecting MH services can vary substantially from state to state. Federal law requires state Medicaid agencies to retain ultimate authority over all Medicaid services, but they can delegate day-to-day responsibility to the state MH agency to, e.g., certify and enroll providers, define covered services, set rates, and collect and report data. States can choose to cover additional MH services under Medicaid, such as: Inpatient psychiatric services for children/elderly, Outpatient rehabilitative services, Clinical services provided by a psychiatrist, psychologist, or social worker.
Medicaid MH Services

- The following services were defined as Medicaid MH services in the majority of states:
  - Outpatient services provided by psychiatric or designated mental health providers (51)
  - Outpatient services provided at a community mental health center (49)
  - Outpatient mental health services provided by a general or family physician (31)

- The most common other services defined as Medicaid MH services were targeted case management and EPSDT MH services for children
The MH agency set some rates for Medicaid MH services in 25 states.

Most common Medicaid services for which MH agencies had rate-setting authority were:
- Residential treatment (17 states)
- Psychiatric social workers, targeted case management, and psychosocial rehabilitation (16 states each)
- Partial day treatment (15 states)
Manager Care

- In 34 states, some MH services or populations were carved out of Medicaid managed care

- In 25 states, the state contracts with a behavioral health organization (BHO) or an administrative services organization (ASO) to deliver Medicaid MH services

- Unfortunately, the data we collected generally do not enable us to tell how services “carved out” of Medicaid managed care were handled, or whether Medicaid and MH agencies collaborated on managed care program design or implementation issues
Medicaid Funding for MH Services

- MH services were a separate line item in the Medicaid budget in 23 states
- Some Medicaid MH services were funded by the MH agency in 32 states
- Medicaid MH services were funded in part by county governments in 22 states
- There was a dedicated funding stream for Medicaid MH services separate from the state general fund in 9 states
Medicaid and MH were in the same umbrella agency in 28 states in 2005-2006, and different agencies in 22 states and DC.

Growing role of Medicaid in funding MH services increases importance of collaboration with MH agencies on policy and implementation issues.

In general, collaboration was more common when both Medicaid and MH were in same umbrella agency (Exhibit 1).
Exhibit 1
Organizational Structure and Collaboration Between Medicaid and Mental Health Agencies

- States with Medicaid and Mental Health in Same Umbrella Agency (28)
- States with Medicaid and Mental Health in Separate Agencies (23)

- Agencies Frequently Collaborate
- Directors Meet Regularly
- Meetings Between Agencies at Least Monthly
- Medicaid Participates in Creation of Mental Health Plan
- Relationship Could Improve
- Have a Memorandum of Understanding
Reports and Data Sharing

- 40 states reported that the Medicaid agency produces formal reports that contain discrete data on MH service utilization or expenditures
  - 27 states reported that the MH agency also produced such reports
  - 8 reported that only the MH agency produced them

- Reports most commonly contained number of beneficiaries using MH services (32 states), utilization (30 states), cost by service (29 states), and cost per beneficiary (26 states)

- In 39 states, Medicaid claims data (from MMIS) were made available to MH agency for analysis
In 16 states, the Medicaid agency has linked client-level data with the MH agency.

In 7 states, Medicaid agency has linked such data with the state Substance Abuse agency.

Medicaid data linking with other state agencies:
- Social Services (11 states)
- Children and Family Services (10)
- Corrections (7)
- Health (7)
- Juvenile Justice (4)
Umbrella Agencies, Rate-Setting Authority, and Data Sharing

- Data sharing appears to be comparable in states with Medicaid and MH in same umbrella agency and in separate agencies (Exhibit 2)

- When MH agency has authority to set some Medicaid rates, however, MH agency has greater access to Medicaid data and produces more reports on Medicaid MH services (Exhibit 3)
Exhibit 2
Umbrella Agencies and Data Sharing

- Medicaid Agency Has Linked Client-Level Data with Mental Health Agency (30%)
- Medicaid Agency Produces Formal Reports on Mental Health Services Utilization or Expenditures (80%)
- Medicaid Mental Health Reports Produced by Mental Health Agency (60%)
- MMIS Made Available to Mental Health Agency (70%)

Legend:
- States with Medicaid and Mental Health in Same Umbrella Agency (28)
- States with Medicaid and Mental Health in Separate Agencies (23)
Exhibit 3
Rate-Setting Authority, Mental Health Services Reports, and Data Sharing

- Medicaid Agency Has Linked Client-Level Data with Mental Health Agency
- Medicaid Agency Produces Formal Reports on Mental Health Services Utilization or Expenditures
- Medicaid Mental Health Reports Produced by Mental Health Agency
- MMIS Made Available to Mental Health Agency

- States Where Mental Health Agency Has Authority to Set Some Medicaid Rates (25)
- States Where Mental Health Agency Has No Authority to Set Any Medicaid Rates (26)
Indicators of Stakeholder Involvement

- At least one slot was reserved for a MH representative on the Medicaid Medical Care Advisory Committee (MCAC) in 32 states
  - Consumer representative (12 states)
  - Provider representative (22 states)
  - Mental health agency representative (12 states)
  - Other (6 states)

- Medicaid MH policy working groups
  - 47 states reported one or more such groups
  - 46 states reported that representatives of community advocates and/or providers were represented
  - Most common state agency representatives were from Medicaid and MH agencies
Four State Types

- States with higher levels of Medicaid-MH collaboration (8 states)
- States with lower levels of Medicaid-MH collaboration (8 states)
- States with higher levels of Medicaid agency authority over Medicaid MH services (4 states)
- States with lower levels of Medicaid agency authority (5 states)
- Two states fit into more than one category, and 28 states could not easily be categorized in this way
Characteristics of Higher Collaboration States

- Measures used for classification
  - Regular meetings between Medicaid and MH agency directors
  - Meetings between agency staff weekly or more often
  - Self-reported “frequent” collaboration
  - One or more “very influential” joint workgroups
  - Links between Medicaid and MH data

- Other features in common
  - Medicaid and MH were in same umbrella agency in 6 of 8 states

- Eight states were LA, MA, NV, NM, NC, OK, PA, and WI
Characteristics of Lower Collaboration States

- Measures used for classification
  - Staff meetings quarterly or less often
  - Self-reported “occasional” collaboration
  - Medicaid agency does not participate in developing MH plan
  - Medicaid agency does not make MMIS data available to MH agency

- Other features in common
  - Medicaid and MH were in same umbrella agency in only two of the states
  - States generally lower in population

- Eight states were CO, DE, DC, HI, MS, MT, SD, and UT
Characteristics of Higher Medicaid Agency Authority States

- Measures used for classification
  - Limited MH agency authority over Medicaid MH rate setting and provider certification
  - Limited MH agency funding of Medicaid services

- Other features in common
  - Medicaid and MH in the same umbrella agency in only two of the states
  - Fewer meetings and other indicators of collaboration
  - Smaller populations

- Four states were AR, ND, OK, and SD
Characteristics of Lower Medicaid Agency Authority States

- Measures used for classification
  - Some funds for Medicaid MH services come from non-Medicaid sources
  - Medicaid MH providers paid differently
  - MH agency sets some Medicaid rates
  - Some MH services or populations carved out
  - MH providers certified differently

- Other features in common
  - Both agencies in the same umbrella agency (4 states)
  - Regular meetings
  - Larger populations

- Five states were CA, MI, OH, OR, and WA
Conclusions

• Collaboration between Medicaid and MH agencies is important because of growing Medicaid role in financing MH services
  – Medicaid needs to understand MH clinical issues, and MH needs to understand Medicaid fiscal and regulatory constraints

• Medicaid and MH working groups can help with common problems, such as:
  – Behavioral health managed care
  – Services for children with behavioral health problems
  – Services for adults with both mental and physical disabilities
Conclusions (Cont.)

- County and local responsibility for MH services can be a key factor in Medicaid-MH agency relationships
  - Proposed modifications in state-level authority or changes in funding for MH services must take local concerns into account

- State government reorganizations should give greater attention to Medicaid-MH agency relationships
  - Most past reorganizations have been driven primarily by other concerns
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